April 28, 2000

Mr. Jeffrey A. Saitas
Executive Director
Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

OR2000-1669

Dear Mr. Saitas:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 134611.

The Texas Natural Resources Conservation Commission (the "commission") received a request for information related to a study of low-level radiation waste management using assured isolation facilities. You claim that the submitted representative sample of the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.106, 552.107 and 552.111 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.111 of the Government Code excepts from required public disclosure interagency and intra-agency memoranda and letters, but only to the extent that they contain advice, opinion, or recommendation intended for use in the entity's policymaking process. Open Records Decision No. 615 at 5 (1993). The purpose of this section is "to protect from public disclosure advice and opinions on policy matters and to encourage frank and open discussion within the agency in connection with its decision-making processes." Austin v. City of San Antonio, 630 S.W.2d 391, 394 (Tex. App.--San Antonio 1982, writ ref'd n.r.e.) (emphasis added). However, an agency's policymaking functions do not encompass internal administrative or personnel matters, as disclosure of information relating

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In reaching our conclusion here, we assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. See Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988); 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

request for it is made. Open Records Decision No. 530 at 5 (1989). You have not submitted to this office information that demonstrates that the commission employees elected to keep their home phone numbers confidential prior to the date on which the commission received the request for information. Thus, we are unable to determine whether the commission must withhold the information under section 552.117. If the employees have made such an election, the commission must not release the home phone numbers related to those employees. Furthermore, this office has stated:

The purpose of [sections 552.024 and 552.117] is to protect public officials and employees from being harassed while at home. Arguably, similar considerations apply to cellular mobile phones installed by the county in public officials' and employees private vehicles.

Open Records Decision No. 506 at 5 (1988). Therefore, you must withhold the cellular telephone numbers and pager numbers of employees who, at the time of the request for the information, had made a signed, written election to keep this information private, as provided in section 552.024. Open Records Decision Nos. 530 at 5 (1989), 482 at 4 (1987), 455 (1987).<sup>2</sup> We have marked the submitted information in accordance with this ruling.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>For purposes of granting section 552.117, we assume that the pager was purchased and privately owned by the commission employees. See Open Records Decision No. 506 at 5-6 (1988) (predecessor to section 552.117 does not apply to cellular mobile phone numbers paid for by county and intended for use at work for county business; different considerations apply if employee pays for purchase and installation of and calls to and from mobile phone in his private vehicle and simply seeks reimbursement for calls made on county business).

governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. Id. § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. Id. § 552.321(a); Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath, 842 S.W.2d 408. 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Carla Gay Dickson

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

Carla Lay Dickson

CGD/lip

Ref:

ID# 134611

Encl. Marked documents

cc:

Mr. Lawrence R. Jacobi, Jr. Envirocare 11782 Jollyville Road, Suite 211B Austin, Texas 78759

(w/o enclosures)